

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,785	03/24/2004	John Matthai	3591/1372	4259	
7590 02/26/2007 Richard E. Stanley, Jr.			EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2			3637		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,785	MATTHAI, JOHN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phi D. A	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tite, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN.  imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	March 2004		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iloiii consideration.	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
_			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir		<b>-</b>	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>			
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	in priority under 35 U.S.C. 9 119(	a)-(d) or (i).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	ate have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Bure		ved in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	* ***	ved	
	·	ou.	
		· .	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/24/04</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:		

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Line 6 "the workspace panel" is lacking antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kulwiec (3497079).

Kulwiec shows a workspace panel tile comprising a semi-transparent screen (12) allowing light diffusion through the screen and blocking visual sight through the screen, attaching mechanisms (the back surface) disposed on a rear side of the tile and attachable to a workspace panel frame, the tile is attachable and detachable from the workspace panel, vertical stiles and horizontal cross members, the members being attached together at corners thereof, the members comprising longitudinal slots facing inward, edges of the screen being disposed in the slots thereby securing the screen (figure 7, 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,785 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kulwiec in view of Whisnant (5193603).

Kulwiec shows all the claimed limitations except for the horizontal members comprising cavities disposed at ends thereof, the stiles having protrusions disposed at ends thereof, the protrusions slide into the cavities to secure the members together.

Whisnant shows the horizontal members comprising cavities disposed at ends thereof, the stiles having protrusions disposed at ends thereof, the protrusions slide into the cavities to secure the members together.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kulwiec's structure to show the horizontal members comprising cavities disposed at ends thereof, the stiles having protrusions disposed at ends thereof, the protrusions slide into the cavities to secure the members together because it allows for the easy and quick assembly of stiles and cross members together as taught by Whisnant, furthermore, examiner takes Official Notice of the well known use of protrusion from stiles on cavities on cross members to quickly assemble parts together.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kulwiec.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,785

Art Unit: 3637

Kulwiec shows all the claimed limitations except for the mechanism comprising a hook and snap.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kulwiec's structure to show the mechanism comprising a hook and snap because it enables the easy holding in place of the panels when inserted into the frame.

6. Claims 5, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kulwiec in view of Maninfior (5054255)

Kulwiec shows all the claimed limitations except for a fabric material disposed over the screen.

Maninfior shows a fabric (30) disposed over a screen.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kulwiec's structure to show a fabric material disposed over the screen as taught by Maninfior because it enables the covering of a surface of the panel when mounted to a wall, which allows to panel to hide the structure behind.

7. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kulwiec in view of Maninfior (5054255)

Kulwiec shows all the claimed limitations except for the screen being extruded plastic material having two opposing outer walls and interconnecting walls connected to the outer walls.

Maninfior shows the screen being extruded plastic material having two opposing outer walls and interconnecting walls connected to the outer walls.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kulwiec's structure to show the screen being extruded plastic material

Application/Control Number: 10/807,785

Art Unit: 3637

having two opposing outer walls and interconnecting walls connected to the outer wall as taught by Maninfior because it forms a strong interior core structure as taught by Maninfior.

Per claims 7 Kulwiec as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the plastic being polycarbonate material about 0.25 inch thick, and the interconnecting walls being 0.25 inch thick.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kulwiec's modified structures to show the plastic being polycarbonate material about 0.25 inch thick, and the interconnecting walls being 0.25 inch thick because it would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice to choose a certain material for its property and a certain dimension of the structure for its needed strength.

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kulwiec in view of Maninfior (5054255), and Whisnant (5193603).

Kulwiec shows all the claimed limitations except for the screen being extruded plastic material having two opposing outer walls and interconnecting walls connected to the outer walls, the horizontal members comprising cavities disposed at ends thereof, the stiles having protrusions disposed at ends thereof, the protrusions slide into the cavities to secure the members together, the mechanism comprising a hook and snap, the screen being extruded plastic material having two opposing outer walls and interconnecting walls connected to the outer walls, the plastic being polycarbonate material about 0.25 inch thick, and the interconnecting walls being 0.25 inch thick.

Maninfior shows the screen being extruded plastic material having two opposing outer walls and interconnecting walls connected to the outer walls.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,785

Art Unit: 3637

Whisnant shows the horizontal members comprising cavities disposed at ends thereof, the stiles having protrusions disposed at ends thereof, the protrusions slide into the cavities to secure the members together

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kulwiec's structure to show the screen being extruded plastic material having two opposing outer walls and interconnecting walls connected to the outer wall as taught by Maninfior because it forms a strong interior core structure as taught by Maninfior, the plastic being polycarbonate material about 0.25 inch thick, and the interconnecting walls being 0.25 inch thick because it would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice to choose a certain material for its property and a certain dimension of the structure for its needed strength. the horizontal members comprising cavities disposed at ends thereof, the stiles having protrusions disposed at ends thereof, the protrusions slide into the cavities to secure the members together because it allows for the easy and quick assembly of stiles and cross members together as taught by Whisnant, furthermore, examiner takes Official Notice of the well known use of protrusion from stiles on cavities on cross members to quickly assemble parts together, the mechanism comprising a hook and snap because it enables the easy holding in place of the panels when inserted into the frame, a fabric material disposed over the screen as taught by Maninfior because it enables the covering of a surface of the panel when mounted to a wall. which allows to panel to hide the structure behind, the screen being extruded plastic material having two opposing outer walls and interconnecting walls connected to the outer wall as taught by Maninfior because it forms a strong interior core structure as taught by Maninfior, the plastic being polycarbonate material about 0.25 inch thick, and the interconnecting walls being 0.25

Art Unit: 3637

inch thick because it would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice to choose a certain material for its property and a certain dimension of the structure for its needed strength.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different panel designs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran 2

2/20/07